

# JUDICIAL IMPACT FISCAL NOTE

<b>Bill Number:</b> 5790 SSB	<b>Title:</b> GMA Economic Dev. Element	<b>Agency:</b> 055 – Admin Office of the Courts (AOC)
---------------------------------	---	---

**Part I: Estimates**

**No Fiscal Impact**

**Estimated Cash Receipts to:**

	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
<b>Total:</b>					

**Estimated Expenditures from:**

	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
<b>STATE</b>					
FTE – Staff Years					
<b>Account</b>					
General Fund – State (001-1)					
State Subtotal					
<b>COUNTY</b>					
County FTE Staff Years					
<b>Account</b>					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal					
<b>CITY</b>					
City FTE Staff Years					
<b>Account</b>					
Local – Cities					
Cities Subtotal					
Local Subtotal					
<b>Total Estimated Expenditures:</b>					

*The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.*

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form parts I-V
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date:
Agency Preparation: Sam Knutson	Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 3/28/2017
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date:
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

## **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

This bill would make specific contents of the economic development elements of the Growth Management Act (GMA) comprehensive plans discretionary, rather than requirements of cities and counties planning under the GMA.

The bill would allow, in certain rural counties with economic deterioration, economic development that deviates from prescriptive interpretations of the GMA.

The bill would allow counties to reduce restrictions on economic development opportunities if there is stagnation or deterioration of economic development.

The bill would provide that if competing goals of the GMA would restrain economic development in the GMA planning jurisdictions experiencing economic deterioration, the GMHB and courts must give great deference to local elected officials' allocation of resources and development choices to prioritize economic development.

### **Part II.A – Brief Description of what the Measure does that has fiscal impact on the Courts**

Section 4(5) – Would require appeals of rural county “limited areas of more intensive rural development” designations to be directly reviewed by a superior court rather than the Growth Management Hearings Board.

No fiscal impact to the courts.